

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING MOTION
TO ENFORCE THE MARCH 26, 2025 ORDER
(ECF NO. 2826)**

This Document Relates to:

*Jane Doe LS 293 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-04364-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 231 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-04367-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 144 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-04388-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 112 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05286-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 284 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05363-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 126 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05370-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 265 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05377-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 200 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05387-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 66 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05414-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 317 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05424-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 234 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05433-CRB

Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
Date: July 11, 2025
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO
DISMISS LEVIN SIMES PLAINTIFFS

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

Jane Doe LS 191 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05573-CRB

Jane Doe LS 273 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05946-CRB

Jane Doe LS 470 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05207-CRB

Jane Doe LS 232 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05327-CRB

Jane Doe LS 373 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05328-CRB

Jane Doe LS 462 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05329-CRB

Jane Doe LS 226 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05330-CRB

Jane Doe LS 166 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05331-CRB

Jane Doe LS 122 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05332-CRB

Jane Doe LS 202 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05333-CRB

Jane Doe LS 416 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05335-CRB

Jane Doe LS 305 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05338-CRB

Jane Doe LS 201 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05354-CRB

Jane Doe LS 189 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05379-CRB

Jane Doe LS 272 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05390-CRB

Jane Doe LS 199 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05402-CRB

Jane Doe LS 279 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05420-CRB

Jane Doe LS 139 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05527-CRB

Jane Doe LS 487 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05611-CRB

Jane Doe LS 141 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05634-CRB

1 *Jane Doe LS 423 v. Uber Technologies,*
2 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05676-CRB*

3 *Jane Doe LS 491 v. Uber Technologies,*
4 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05678-CRB*

5 *Jane Doe LS 441 v. Uber Technologies,*
6 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05751-CRB*

7 *Jane Doe LS 518 v. Uber Technologies,*
8 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05761-CRB*

9 *Jane Doe LS 319 v. Uber Technologies,*
10 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05800-CRB*

11 *Jane Doe LS 484 v. Uber Technologies,*
12 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05824-CRB*

13 *Jane Doe LS 4 v. Uber Technologies,*
14 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05861-CRB*

15 *Jane Doe LS 368 v. Uber Technologies,*
16 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05898-CRB*

17 *Jane Doe LS 274 v. Uber Technologies,*
18 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05902-CRB*

19 *Jane Doe LS 359 v. Uber Technologies,*
20 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05908-CRB*

21 *Jane Doe LS 342 v. Uber Technologies,*
22 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05913-CRB*

23 *Jane Doe LS 304 v. Uber Technologies,*
24 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05914-CRB*

25 *Jane Doe LS 369 v. Uber Technologies,*
26 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05915-CRB*

27 *Jane Doe LS 269 v. Uber Technologies,*
28 *Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05922-CRB*

Jane Doe LS 93 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05925-CRB

Jane Doe LS 7 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05926-CRB

Jane Doe LS 504 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05928-CRB

Jane Doe LS 180 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05936-CRB

Jane Doe LS 119 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05937-CRB

Jane Doe LS 197 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06003-CRB

Jane Doe LS 314 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06016-CRB

Jane Doe LS 188 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06022-CRB

Jane Doe LS 230 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06026-CRB

Jane Doe LS 209 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06033-CRB

Jane Doe LS 532 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06927-CRB

Jane Doe LS 534 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-07142-CRB

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Defendants' Motion to Enforce the March 26, 2025 Order (ECF No. 2826), the Court finds that the Levin Simes LLP Plaintiffs subject to Defendants' Motion have violated Pretrial Order No. 10, ECF No. 348, and Judge Cisneros's January 31, 2025 Order, ECF No. 2186, and the Court's March 26, 2025 Order, ECF No. 2826, by failing to provide complete and verified Plaintiff Fact Sheets. Plaintiffs' failure to provide complete and verified fact sheets has caused prejudice to Uber.

The Court therefore hereby ORDERS as follows:

1. Each Plaintiff subject to Defendants' Motion is dismissed without prejudice, under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41(b) and 37(b)(2)(A)(v).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2025

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Court Judge